

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS DIVISION**

CHRISTIAN F. MEISTER,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 2:06-cv-444-FtM-34SPC

LEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE; MIKE
SCOTT; J.J. CARROLL; RICHARD SNEYER;
RYAN JUSTHAM; STEPHAN PIERCE; GENE
SIMS; PEDRO J. SOTO; MIKE JOHNSTON,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time to File a Response to All Defendant(s)' Motions for Summary Judgment (Doc. #163) filed on April 28, 2008. The Plaintiff moves the Court for an extension of time to file a responsive pleading to the pending Motions for Summary Judgment. As grounds, the Plaintiff, *pro se*, states that there are three separate Motions for Summary Judgment and responses must be prepared for each motion. The Plaintiff contends that he lacks sufficient understanding and requires additional time to review and prepare the responses.

District courts have broad discretion in managing their cases. Chrysler Int'l Corp. v. Chenaly, 280 F.3d 1358, 1360 (11th Cir. 2002). The broad discretion given to the court includes the management of pretrial activities such as discovery and scheduling. Id. (citing Johnson v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. Georgia, 263 F.3d 1234, 1269 (11th Cir. 2001)). Pursuant to Local Rule 3.01(g), the Plaintiff has conferred with opposing Counsel and states that an objection exists to the relief

requested. The Court, having considered the motion, finds sufficient cause exists and will grant the extension of time.

Accordingly, it is now

ORDERED:

The Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time to File a Response to All Defendant(s)' Motions for Summary Judgment (Doc. #163) is **GRANTED**. The Plaintiff shall have up to and including **MAY 23, 2008** to submit responsive pleadings to the pending Motions for Summary Judgment.

DONE AND ORDERED at Fort Myers, Florida, this 9th day of May, 2008.


SHERI POLSTER CHAPPELL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies: All Parties of Record